

Patent and frademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED APPLICANT APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE

> EXAMINER den ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1761

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY									
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):									
(//	(3)								
(2) Crawford	(4)								
Date of Interview 2 - 6 - 02									
Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Rersonal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).									
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:									
Agreement was reached. was not reached.									
Claim(s) discussed: all generally									
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>All relied</u> or									
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:									
agreement was reached to	o drop the								
rejections under 35 USC 11	2 furt paragraph in								
response to applicants argue	ments in Paper 23, Examine								
to further consider the remaine	up claims in light of the								
( A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if avail must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would attached.)	ilable, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable								
$\hfill\square$ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the									
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrat IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INT action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FI SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	ERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office								

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

Caron Pade

FORM **PTOL-413** (REV. 2-98)

## Manual of Pat int Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must be Made of Record

Except as otherwise provided, a complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

1	Contract (ACC)	ATT DEMEN		MED at Publication	$_{\Lambda}$ §1.133 Inter	rviews	100000000		ABOUT.AC	17 (1947)	
(b)	In every instance ew as warranting fa	where reconside	ration is reque lust be <u>filed</u> by	sted in view of the applicant.	an interview o	with an examin does not remov	er, a complete e the necessity	written stateme for reply to Of	ent of the reas fice action as	sons presente specified in §	d at the § 1.111
nd 1.	135. (35 U.S.C. 13	2) .									٠٠, .
polica	1.2. Business to b ants or their attorne written record in the	evs or agents át t	he Patent and	Trademark Of	fice is unnece	ssary. The-acti	on of the Pater	nt and Tradema	ark Office will	be based exc	lusively
	ne action of the Pation of the substance of		rk Office canno	ot be based exc	clusively on th	e written record	d in the Office it	that record is	tself incomple	ete through the	a failure
lt i	is the responsibility she will do so. It is	of the applicant of the examiner's fi	or the attorney	or agent to ma	ke the substar a record is m	nce of an intervi nade and to cor	iew of record in rect material in	the application accuracies wh	ı file, unless tl Ich bear direc	e examiner in	ndicates estion of
atent	ability.	14 16 67	1 / 1 (1)	1940	K, Summ	interviei					
een o nly p xami	caminers must com discussed during th rocedural matters, ning Procedure, po reement are exclu	e interview by che directed solely to binting out typogra	ecking the app restriction req aphical errors	ropriate boxes uirements for v or unreadable :	and filling in to hich interview script in Office	he blanks in ne v recordation is	at handwritten otherwise prov	form using a ba ided for in Sec	di point pen. I tion 812.01 of er's amendme	Discussions re The Manual o	garding f Patent
vrapp	ne Interview Summ erIn a personal in of a telephonic inte	iterview, the dupli	icate copy of th	ne Form is rem	oved and ĝive	en to the applica	ant (or attorney	or agent) at the	e conclusion	of the intervievation.	wIn.the
Tr	e Form provides fo	or recordation of	the following in	nformation:			and going and the state of the contraction of the c			weryter	SEE TORRAGE
-1	Application Numbe Name of applicant Name of examiner	cof the application	& applica n				-		,		
[ -1	Date of interview of Type of interview (p	ersonal or teleph	nonic)		leho vresti li	one soy il loi	Mt. Hreey Li	conducted:	odasi mort	<b>新水源</b> (1)	idigizz
-1	Name of participant  An indication wheth  An identification of	er or not an exhi	bit was shown		ation conduct	ted	and it is now thank and as on it is not			1	
-/	An identification of An indication wheth of amendments or contrary.)	ner an agreement	was reached	and if so, a de le). (Agreemer	scription of th	e general natur vability are tent	re of the agree	en ton ears > ment (may be to pt-restrict further	by attachmen	t of a copy	
	The signature of the Names of other Par					ع وس	erler S	ans <u>a for</u>	randisons	ong to neits:	oliticebl
	ne Form also conta		AM	2-1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1							
exami Form	is desireable that the iner agree that the or in an attachmen itting a separate re	examiner will red t to the Form, the	ord same. Wr examiner sho	iere the exami ould check a bo	ner agrees to x at the botto	record the sub m of the Form	stance of the li	nterview, or who policant that he	en it is adeque need not su	ately recorde pplement the	id bîn the
t sho or is s	uld be noted, howe supplemented by th	ver, that the Inter le applicant or th	view Summar examiner to	y Form witl not include, all of	normally be o	considered a co litems required	mplete and pro	per recordation	n of the interv nce of the int	iew unless it i erview:>>:\	ncludes
1)	complete and prop	of the nature of	any exhibit sh				e following app	olicable Items:	े व इ	-preselo	5° -/
2) 3) 4)	an identification of an identification of an identification of	f the claims discu f specific prioຂໍລັກ f the principal pro	issed, Hdiscussed, posed amend	ments of a sub	stantive natur	re discussed, u	nless these are	already descri	ر کان ribed on the ا	nterview Sumi	mary
5	Form.completed b a brief identification elaborate. A verbat	by the examiner, on of the general ilm or highly deta	thrust of the p	rincipal argum	ents presente ents is not rec	d to the examin	ner. The identification of the	roc s bris v ication of argui e arguments is	nents need n sufficient if ti	ot be lengthy he general nat	or ture
	or thrust of the prin emphasize and full a general indication	cipal arguments	made to the e	xaminer can bi	e understood	in the context of	of the application	on file. Of cour	se, the applica	ant may desire	e to
7	if appropriate the	general results of	r outcome of	the interview u	niess aiready	described in th	e Interview Su	mmary Form o	ompleted by	the examiner.	789ii .
Exam applic	niners are expected cant one month from	to carefully revie in the date of the	w the applican notifying lette	r to complete t	e substance on the reply and t	i an interview. I hereby avoid a	r the record is r banddhment o	f the applicatio	n (37 CFR 1.	e examiner will 135(6)(1)(2 65) THO BOMA	11 130 4774
				Exa	miner to Chec	k for Accuracy				<	
i A	pplicant's summan	y of what took pla	ace at the inter	rview should b	e carefully ch	ecked to deter	nine the accur	acy of any argu	ment or state	ent attribute	ed to the

examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.